



London Borough of Bromley Pension Fund

Audit Plan

Year ended 31 March 2021

27 October 2023

27 October 2023



Bromley Pension Fund
Audit & Risk Committee
Council Offices
Bromley
BR1 3UH

Dear Audit and Risk Committee Members/Pension Fund Committee Members

Audit Planning Report

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Audit and Risk Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2020/21 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2020 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This Plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for the Pension Fund, and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks. The timing of the audit is aligned with the London Borough of Bromley financial statements audit.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit and Risk Committee and management. It is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this report with you on 7 November 2023 as well as to understand any other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Debbie Hanson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Debbie Hanson
Partner
For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP

Contents

01

Overview of our 2020/21 audit strategy



02

Audit risks



03

Audit materiality



04

Scope of our audit



05

Audit team



06

Audit timeline



07

Independence



08

Appendices



Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued the "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies". It is available from the PSAA website (<https://www.psa.co.uk/audit-quality/statement-of-responsibilities/>). The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The "Terms of Appointment and further guidance (updated April 2018)" issued by the PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and in legislation, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This report is made solely to the Audit and Risk Committee and management of Bromley Pension Fund in accordance with the statement of responsibilities. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Audit and Risk Committee, and management of Bromley Pension Fund those matters we are required to state to them in this report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Audit and Risk Committee, and management of Bromley Pension Fund for this report or for the opinions we have formed. It should not be provided to any third-party without our prior written consent.



01

Overview of our 2020/21 audit strategy



Overview of our 2020/21 audit strategy

The following 'dashboard' summarises the significant accounting and auditing matters outlined in this report. It seeks to provide the Audit and Governance Committee with an overview of our initial risk identification for the upcoming audit and any changes in risks identified in the current year.

Audit risks and areas of focus

Risk / area of focus	Risk identified	Change from PY	Details
Misstatements due to fraud or error	Fraud risk	No change in risk or focus	As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.
Misstatement of investment income and investment values through fraudulent journal entries	Fraud risk	No change in risk or focus	Investment valuations and investment income are manually input on the GL. Our judgement is that the Pension Fund's fraud risk relates to inappropriate posting of investment journals compared to the values reported by the custodian. This would affect the long-term investment portfolio value and investment income.
Valuation of investments under Level 2 fair value hierarchy	Inherent risk	No change in risk or focus	The valuation of investments under level 2 fair value hierarchy (where market prices are not available) are based on observable inputs such as evaluated prices provided by independent pricing services, closing bid price where bid and offer are published or estimated valuation reported by a counterparty. There is however a risk that the comparable inputs are not appropriate and valuation could be misstated.

Overview of our 2020/21 audit strategy

The following 'dashboard' summarises the significant accounting and auditing matters outlined in this report. It seeks to provide the Audit and Governance Committee with an overview of our initial risk identification for the upcoming audit and any changes in risks identified in the current year.

Materiality

Planning
materiality

£13.35m

Materiality has been set at £13.35 million, which represents 1% of the 2020/21 unaudited net assets of the scheme available to fund benefits. The Pension Fund is a major local authority based on its size. We have considered the overall risk profile and public interest in comparison to other Pension Funds, and have set planning materiality to 1% of net assets.

Performance
materiality

£10.01m

Performance materiality has been set at £10.01 million, which represents 75% of materiality. This is the upper end of our range based on a low level of errors identified in previous periods.

Audit
differences

£0.67m

We will report all uncorrected misstatements relating to the primary statements (Net Assets Statement and Pension Fund Accounts) greater than £0.67 million. Other misstatements identified will be communicated to the extent that they merit the attention of the Audit and Risk Committee.

Overview of our 2020/21 audit strategy

Audit scope

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- Our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of Bromley Pension Fund (the Pension Fund) give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the Fund during the year ended 31 March 2021 and the amount and disposition of the Fund's assets and liabilities as at 31 March 2021; and
- Our opinion on the consistency of the Pension Fund financial statements within the Pension Fund annual report with the published financial statements of London Borough of Bromley.

Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- Strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- Developments in financial reporting and auditing standards;
- The quality of systems and processes;
- Changes in the business and regulatory environment; and,
- Management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Pension Fund.

Taking the above into account, and as articulated in this Audit Plan, our professional responsibilities require us to perform an independent assessment of the risks associated with providing an audit opinion and to undertake appropriate procedures in response. Our Terms of Appointment with PSAA allow them to vary the fee dependent on "the auditors' assessment of risk and the work needed to meet their professional responsibilities". PSAA are aware that the setting of scale fees has not kept pace with the changing requirements of external audit and the increased regulatory focus on audit quality. Therefore, to the extent any of these or any other risks relevant in the context of Bromley Pension Fund's audit, we will discuss the impact on the scale fee with management.



02

Audit risks



Our response to significant risks

We have set out the significant risks (including fraud risks *) identified for the current year audit along with the rationale and expected audit approach. The risks identified below may change to reflect any significant findings or subsequent issues we identify during the audit.

	What is the risk?	What will we do?
<p>Misstatements due to fraud or error*</p>	<p>The financial statements as a whole are not free of material misstatements whether caused by fraud or error.</p> <p>As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.</p> <p>We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.</p>	<p>We will undertake our standard procedures to address fraud risk, which include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Identifying fraud risks during the planning stages. ▶ Inquiring of management about risks of fraud and the controls put in place to address those risks. ▶ Understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud. ▶ Considering the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud. ▶ Determining an appropriate strategy to address those identified risks of fraud. ▶ Performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks, including; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ testing of journal entries and other adjustments in the preparation of the financial statements; ▶ reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias; and ▶ evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions. <p>We will use our data analytics capabilities to assist with our work, including journal entry testing. We will assess journal entries for evidence of management bias and evaluate for business rationale.</p>

Our response to significant risks (continued)

We have set out the significant risks (including fraud risks *) identified for the current year audit along with the rationale and expected audit approach. The risks identified below may change to reflect any significant findings or subsequent issues we identify during the audit.

<p>Misstatement of investment income and investment values through fraudulent journal entries*</p>	<p>What is the risk?</p> <p>Investment valuations and income are manually input on the general ledger, so there is opportunity to manipulate the valuation of investments and income reported in the Net Asset Statement and Fund Account.</p>	<p>What will we do?</p> <p>Our approach will focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • testing of journals at year-end to ensure there are no unexpected or unusual postings; • undertaking a review of reconciliations between the fund manager and custodian reports and investigating any reconciling differences over a specified threshold; • re-perform the detailed investment note using the reports we have acquired directly from the custodian or fund managers; and • checking the reconciliation of holdings included in the Net Assets Statement back to source reports. <p>We will use our data analytics capabilities to assist with our work, including journal entry testing. We will assess journal entries for evidence of management bias and evaluate for business rationale.</p>
<p>Financial statement impact</p> <p>Manipulation of investment values would increase the net value of pension fund assets.</p> <p>Total Investments for 2020/21: £1,335 million</p> <p>Investment Income for 2020/21: £23 million</p>		

Audit risks

Other areas of audit focus

We have identified other areas of the audit, that have not been classified as significant risks, but are still important when considering the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements and disclosures and therefore may be key audit matters we will include in our audit report.

What is the inherent risk?	What will we do?
<p><u>Valuation of investments under Level 2 fair value hierarchy</u></p> <p>The Pension Fund held £439 million level 2 investments at 31 March 2021. These are assets where quoted market prices are not available; for example, where an instrument is traded in a market that is not considered to be active, or where valuation techniques are used to determine fair value.</p> <p>Valuations are based on either evaluated prices provided by independent pricing services, closing bid price where bid and offer are published or estimated valuation reported by a counterparty.</p> <p>The Pension Fund changed the fair value hierarchy of pooled property fund totalling £47 million that were previously held under Level 3 to Level 2 in 2020/21. The reclassification was made because the material uncertainty clause included in the valuations of all the property funds last year were removed in 2020/21. The clause was previously included as a result of the significantly reduced property market activity stemming from the COVID-19 pandemic.</p>	<p>In order to address this risk we will carry out a range of procedures including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▶ Where the funds are actively traded in listed markets/exchange, testing the valuation by using the EY Investment Security Pricing Tool;▶ Alternatively, inspecting quotations, financial statements of investees and other evidence of current value, cost or equity amount of investments and testing that investments are classified, recorded and measured in accordance with the entity's accounting policies and applicable financial reporting framework;▶ Performing triangulation work to agree amounts per the financial statements to Fund Manager and Custodian.▶ Reviewing the reclassification of assets from Level 3 to Level 2 to ensure the reclassification is correct.



03

Audit materiality



Materiality

Materiality

For planning purposes, materiality for 2020/21 has been set at £13.35 million. This represents 1% of the Pension Fund's 2020/21 unaudited net assets. It will be reassessed throughout the audit process. In an audit of a pension fund we consider the net assets to be the appropriate basis for setting the materiality as they represent the best measure of the schemes' ability to meet obligations rising from pension liabilities.



We request that the Audit and Risk Committee confirm its understanding of, and agreement to, these materiality and reporting levels.

Key definitions

Planning materiality – the amount over which we anticipate misstatements would influence the economic decisions of a user of the financial statements.

Performance materiality – the amount we use to determine the extent of our audit procedures. We have set performance materiality at £10.01 million which represents 75% of planning materiality – consistent with the prior year level. We have considered a number of factors such as the number of errors in prior year and any significant changes in 2020/21 when determining the percentage of performance materiality.

Audit difference threshold – we propose that misstatements identified below this threshold are deemed clearly trivial. We will report to you all uncorrected misstatements over this amount relating to the Fund Account and Net Asset Statement.

Other uncorrected misstatements, such as reclassifications, misstatements in disclosures, and corrected misstatements will be communicated to the extent that they merit the attention of the Audit and Risk Committee and Local Pension Board, or are important from a qualitative perspective.



04

Scope of our audit



Our Audit Process and Strategy

Objective and Scope of our Audit scoping

Under the Code of Audit Practice our principal objectives are to review and report on the Pension Fund's financial statements and arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue an audit report that covers the financial statement audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK), as well as on the consistency of the Pension Fund financial statements within the Pension Fund annual report with the published financial statements of London Borough of Bromley.

We also perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Procedures required by standards

- Addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- Significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- Entity-wide controls;
- Reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- Auditor independence.

Procedures required by the Code

- Reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements.

We are also required to discharge our statutory duties and responsibilities as established by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and Code of Audit Practice.

Our Audit Process and Strategy (continued)

Audit Process Overview

Our audit involves:

- Identifying and understanding the key processes and internal controls;
- Substantive tests of detail of transactions and amounts; and
- Reviewing and assessing the work of experts in relation to areas such as valuation of the Pension Fund to establish if reliance can be placed on their work.

For 2020/21 we plan to follow a substantive approach to the audit as we have concluded this is the most efficient way to obtain the level of audit assurance required to conclude that the financial statements are not materially misstated.

Analytics:

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- Help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- Give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

We will report the findings from our process and analytics work, including any significant weaknesses or inefficiencies identified and recommendations for improvement, to management and the Audit and Risk Committee.

Internal audit:

As in the prior years, we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work where relevant to this engagement. We consider these when designing our overall audit approach and when developing in our detailed testing strategy. We may also reflect relevant findings from their work in our reporting, where it raises issues that we assess could have a material impact on the year-end financial statements.

IAS19 procedures:

In addition to the above we also perform procedures on behalf of the auditor of London Borough of Bromley concerning IAS 19 report. Our work specifically focuses on gaining assurance that the data submitted to the actuary agrees to the Pension Fund's systems. This approach minimises disruption to the Pension Fund as only one set of auditors will perform procedures on the data.

Going concern:

As in the prior year, we will review the going concern assessment performed by the management in 2020/21. We have not identified a risk over the going concern status of the Pension Fund, but because of the changing environment it is still important for management to include disclosures on going concern. The revised IAS 570 for Going Concern) also requires an assessment of whether there is a material uncertainty related to going concern, and whether management's use of going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the Pension Fund accounts is appropriate. Our work specifically focuses in gaining assurance over the self-assessment process and reviewing a full cash flow forecast provided by management, which must cover a period of at least 12 months from the approval of the financial statements.




05

Audit team



Audit team

Audit team structure:



Working together with the Pension Fund

We are working together with officers on communication and processes for the 2020/21 audit.

We will continue to keep our audit approach under review to streamline it where possible.

Use of specialists

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where either EY or third party specialists provide input for the current year audit are:

Area	Specialists
Pensions disclosure	EY Actuaries, PWC Actuary commissioned by NAO, Mercer the Fund's Actuary

In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Fund's business and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- Analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the specialist to establish whether the source data is relevant and reliable;
- Assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- Consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- Assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.



06 Audit timeline





Audit timeline

Timetable of communication and deliverables

Timeline

Below is a timetable showing the key stages of the audit and the deliverables we have agreed to provide to you through the audit cycle in 2020/21. The final timetable will depend on our ability to obtain sufficient, appropriate audit evidence to support our audit opinion. The audit timetable is aligned to the London Borough of Bromley audit timetable.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Audit and Risk Committee and we will discuss them with the Audit and Risk Committee Chair as appropriate. We will also provide updates on corporate governance and regulatory matters as necessary.

Audit phase	Timetable	Audit and Governance Committee Timetable	Deliverables
Planning: Risk assessment and setting of scopes Walkthrough of key systems and processes	September - November	Audit and Risk Committee November '23	Audit Planning Report
Year end audit	September - December		
Audit Completion procedures	January	Audit and Risk Committee March '24	Audit Results Report
Audit Completion procedures	January	Audit and Risk Committee March '24	Audit opinion and completion certificate



07

Independence



Introduction

The FRC Ethical Standard and ISA (UK) 260 “Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance”, requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear upon our integrity, objectivity and independence. The Ethical Standard, as revised in December 2019, requires that we communicate formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the course of the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications	
Planning stage	Final stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by Ernst & Young (EY) including consideration of all relationships between you, your affiliates and directors and us; ▶ The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality review; ▶ The overall assessment of threats and safeguards; ▶ Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ In order for you to assess the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm and each covered person, we are required to provide a written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that may bear on our integrity, objectivity and independence. This is required to have regard to relationships with the entity, its directors and senior management, its affiliates, and its connected parties and the threats to integrity or objectivity, including those that could compromise independence that these create. We are also required to disclose any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed; ▶ Details of non-audit/additional services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto; ▶ Written confirmation that the firm and each covered person is independent and, if applicable, that any non-EY firms used in the group audit or external experts used have confirmed their independence to us; ▶ Details of any non-audit/additional services to a UK PIE audit client where there are differences of professional opinion concerning the engagement between the Ethics Partner and Engagement Partner and where the final conclusion differs from the professional opinion of the Ethics Partner ▶ Details of any inconsistencies between FRC Ethical Standard and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy; ▶ Details of all breaches of the IESBA Code of Ethics, the FRC Ethical Standard and professional standards, and of any safeguards applied and actions taken by EY to address any threats to independence; and ▶ An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.

During the course of the audit, we must communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place, for example, when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future services that have been contracted, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services that has been submitted;

We ensure that we disclose the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed in appropriate categories.

Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including the principal threats, if any. We have adopted the safeguards noted below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective. However we will only perform non-audit services if the service has been pre-approved in accordance with your policy.

Overall Assessment

Overall, we consider that the safeguards that have been adopted appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Debbie Hanson, your audit engagement partner and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

Self interest threats

A self interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in the Pension Fund. Examples include where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with you. At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services and we will comply with the policies that you have approved.

None of the services are prohibited under the FRC's ES or the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 and the services have been approved in accordance with your policy on pre-approval. The ratio of non audit fees to audits fees is not permitted to exceed 70%.

At the time of writing, there are no non-audit services provided by us to the Pension Fund.

A self interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to you. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, has objectives or is rewarded in relation to sales to you, in compliance with Ethical Standard part 4.

There are no other self interest threats at the date of this report.

Self review threats

Self review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no self review threats at the date of this report.

Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of the Pension Fund. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service in relation to which management is required to make judgements or decision based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report.

Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

Other communications

EY Transparency Report 2022

Ernst & Young (EY) has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained. Details of the key policies and processes in place within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this Report is for the year end 30 June 2022: [EY UK 2022 Transparency Report | EY UK](#)



08

Appendices



Appendix A

Fees

The duty to prescribe fees is a statutory function delegated to Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government.

This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice and supporting guidance published by the National Audit Office, the financial reporting requirements set out in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting published by CIPFA/LASAAC, and the professional standards applicable to auditors' work. As outlined earlier in this report, PSAA are aware that the setting of scale fees has not kept pace with the changing requirements of external audit and the increased regulatory focus on audit quality. In addition, we are driving greater innovation in the audit through the use of technology. The significant investment costs in this global technology continue to rise as we seek to provide enhanced assurance and insight in the audit. Therefore, to the extent any of these or any other risks relevant in the context of Bromley Pension Fund's audit, we will discuss the impact on the scale fee with management and submit any proposals for an increase to PSAA to determine.

	Planned fee 2020/21	Scale fee 2020/21	Final Fee 2019/20
	£'s	£'s	£'s
Total Fee – Code work	16,170	16,170	16,170
Changes in work required to address professional and regulatory requirements and scope associated with risk (Note 1)	41,097	-	41,097
Additional work (See Note 2)	-	-	41,071
Additional Fee for work on behalf of admitted Body auditors (recharged to the Pension Fund) (Note 3)	5,500	-	9,055
Total fees	62,767	16,170	107,393

All fees exclude
VAT

The agreed fee presented is based on the following assumptions:

- Officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- Our accounts opinion being unqualified;
- Appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Pension Fund; and
- The Pension Fund has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Pension Fund in advance.

Note 1: For 2019/20 and 2020/21 the scale fee has been re-assessed to take into account the risk profile of the Fund and the increase in regulatory standards. This additional fee has not been agreed but has been communicated to Management and is subject to determination by PSAA.




Note 2: In 2019/20, we had to perform additional procedures to address the risks resulting from Covid-19, valuation of L3 investments and a greater focus on going concern in the light of the pandemic. We also experienced some delays in the preparation of the accounts and also wider delays on the audit. The resulting proposed additional fee is subject to determination by PSAA.

Note 3: We anticipate charging an additional fee of £5,500 in 2020/21 to take into account the additional work required to respond to IAS19 assurance requests from admitted bodies and their auditors. For 2019/20 we were also required to perform additional procedures over the 2019 triennial valuation of the Fund on behalf of admitted body auditors (£3,555). The Pension Fund can recharge these fees to the relevant admitted bodies.

Appendix B





Required communications with the Audit and Risk Committee

We have detailed the communications that we must provide to the Audit and Risk Committee.

			 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where	
Terms of engagement	Confirmation by the Audit and Governance Committee of acceptance of terms of engagement as written in the engagement letter signed by both parties.	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.	
Our responsibilities	Reminder of our responsibilities as set out in the engagement letter	The statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies.	
Planning and audit approach	Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit, any limitations and the significant risks identified. When communicating key audit matters this includes the most significant risks of material misstatement (whether or not due to fraud) including those that have the greatest effect on the overall audit strategy, the allocation of resources in the audit and directing the efforts of the engagement team.	Audit Plan – 7 November 2023 – Audit and Risk Committee	
Significant findings from the audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures • Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit • Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management • Written representations that we are seeking • Expected modifications to the audit report • Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process 	Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee	




Appendix B

Required communications with the Audit and Risk Committee (continued)

			 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	  When and where	
Going concern	<p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty • Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements • The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements 		Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee
Misstatements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion, unless prohibited by law or regulation • The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods • A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected • Corrected misstatements that are significant • Material misstatements corrected by management 		Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee
Fraud	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enquiries of the Audit and Governance Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity • Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist • A discussion of any other matters related to fraud 		Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee
Related parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable: • Non-disclosure by management • Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions • Disagreement over disclosures • Non-compliance with laws and regulations • Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity 		Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee




Appendix B

Required communications with the Audit and Risk Committee (continued)

			 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	  When and where	
Independence	<p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's, and all individuals involved in the audit, objectivity and independence</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement partner's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The principal threats • Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness • An overall assessment of threats and safeguards • Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence 	<p>Audit Plan – 9 November 2023 – Audit and Risk Committee</p> <p>Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee</p>	
External confirmations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management's refusal for us to request confirmations • Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures 	<p>Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee</p>	
Consideration of laws and regulations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off • Enquiry of the Audit and Governance Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Audit and Governance Committee may be aware of 	<p>Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee</p>	
Internal controls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit 	<p>Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee</p>	

Appendix B

Required communications with the Audit and Risk Committee (continued)

		 Our Reporting to you
Required communications	 What is reported?	 When and where
Representations	Written representations we are requesting from management and/or those charged with governance	Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee
Material inconsistencies and misstatements	Material inconsistencies or misstatements of fact identified in other information which management has refused to revise.	Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee
Auditors report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key audit matters that we will include in our auditor’s report • Any circumstances identified that affect the form and content of our auditor’s report 	Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee
Fee Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breakdown of fee information when the audit plan is agreed • Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit • Any non-audit work 	Audit Plan – 9 November 2023 – Audit and Risk Committee Audit Results Report – 28 March 2024 – Audit and Risk Committee

Additional audit information

Objective of our audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the Pension Fund's financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK) as prepared by you in accordance with with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the EU, and as interpreted and adapted by the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting.

Our responsibilities in relation to the financial statement audit are set out in the formal terms of engagement between the PSAA's appointed auditors and audited bodies. We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of the Audit and Governance Committee. The audit does not relieve management or the Audit and Risk Committee of their responsibilities.

Other required procedures during the course of the audit

In addition to the key areas of audit focus outlined in section 2, we have to perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards and other regulations. We outline the procedures below that we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Our responsibilities required by auditing standards

- Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.
- Obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Pension Fund's internal control.
- Evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Concluding on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting.
- Evaluating the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Pension Fund to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements. Reading other information contained in the financial statements, the Audit and Risk Committee reporting appropriately addresses matters communicated by us to the Audit and Risk Committee and reporting whether it is materially inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- Maintaining auditor independence.

Additional audit information (continued)

Purpose and evaluation of materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the accounts are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in the aggregate, in light of the surrounding circumstances, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation of it requires professional judgement and necessarily takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implicit in the definition. We would be happy to discuss with you your expectations regarding our detection of misstatements in the financial statements.

Materiality determines the level of work performed on individual account balances and financial statement disclosures.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all of the circumstances that may ultimately influence our judgement about materiality. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the accounts, including the total effect of the audit misstatements we identify, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.